# Analytical expression of tides in the constant- $\Delta t$ model with Poincaré rectangular coordinates

#### Jérémy COUTURIER

August 26, 2025

#### Contents

1	Tidal model	1
2	Pseudo-Hamiltonian formalism	3
3	Contribution of tides to the equations of motions	4
R	eferences	5

#### Abstract

In this document, I use a pseudo-Hamiltonian formalism is order to obtain an analytical expression of the differential system of equations due to tides in the constant- $\Delta t$  model. I use Poincaré's rectangular coordinates and calculations are performed with the algebraic manipulator *celeries* available as a python package. The setup is a coplanar planetary system with N planets and I only consider tides raised on the planets by the star and interacted with by the star.

#### 1 Tidal model

I consider a planetary system of N planets of masses  $m_j$  and radii  $R_j$  orbiting a star of mass  $m_0$ . In this work, the Latin letter i is an index, while the Greek letter i is  $\sqrt{-1}$ . Planets are assumed to be extended bodies subject to tides. Let  $r_j$  be the position of the star with respect to the  $j^{\text{th}}$  planet in the frame  $(\mathcal{O}, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{K})$  attached to the planet's rotation. Let r be a point within the planet in that same reference frame. The star raises at r the potential per unit mass

$$W'(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\mathcal{G}m_0}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}|} = -\frac{\mathcal{G}m_0}{r_j} \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{r}{r_j}\right)^l P_l\left(\frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j}{r r_j}\right),\tag{1}$$

called perturbing potential. In this expression, the  $P_l$  are the Legendre polynomials. Terms  $l \leq 1$  have a gradient independent on  $\boldsymbol{r}$ . Since tides come from a differential acceleration within the planet, these terms do not contribute to tides and are discarded.

Terms  $l \geq 3$  are also discarded due to the small size of  $r/r_j$ . Using  $P_2(z) = (3z^2 - 1)/2$ , the perturbing potential is therefore rewritten

$$W(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{G}m_0 r^2}{r_j^3} \left( 3 \frac{(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)^2}{r^2 r_j^2} - 1 \right). \tag{2}$$

The perturbing potential W governs how the star redistributes mass in the planet. The redistribution of mass raises a potential V, called perturbed potential. The star itself or any other body feels the potential V and is thus affected by tides. The challenge in tidal theories is to obtain the perturbed potential V from the perturbing potential W. Assuming these three hypothesis

- (i) The tidal perturbations are small enough so that V depends linearly on W,
- (ii) The geophysical properties of planet j are constant over the tidal timescales,
- (iii) Planet j is isotropic in the absence of tides,

it can be shown (Boué et~al., 2019; Couturier, 2022) that, at the quadrupolar order, V is related to W by the convolution product

$$V(\boldsymbol{r},t) = \frac{R_j^3}{r^3} \int_{-\infty}^t k_2^{(j)}(t-t') W\left(R_j \frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{r}, t'\right) dt', \tag{3}$$

where  $k_2^{(j)}(t)$  is the second Love distribution of planet j and characterizes its memory of past stresses. In order to get rid of the convolution product, a common choice is the constant- $\Delta t$  model where  $k_2^{(j)}(t)$  is taken proportional to a Dirac distribution

$$k_2^{(j)}(t) = \kappa_2^{(j)} \,\delta(t - \Delta t^{(j)}).$$
 (4)

In this expression, the second Love number  $\kappa_2^{(j)}$  measures the ability of planet j to get deformed by tides and the timelag  $\Delta t^{(j)}$  measures its ability to dissipate energy when it is deformed by tides. Injecting Eqs. (2) and (4) into Eq. (3), I obtain for the perturbed potential by unit mass felt by a body at position  $r_k$ 

$$V(\boldsymbol{r}_k) = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_2^{(j)} \frac{\mathcal{G}m_0 R_j^5}{r_k^5 r_j^{\star 5}} \left[ 3 \left( \boldsymbol{r}_k \cdot \boldsymbol{r}_j^{\star} \right)^2 - r_k^2 r_j^{\star 2} \right], \tag{5}$$

where, in the rotating frame,  $\mathbf{r}_j^{\star} = \mathbf{r}_j(t - \Delta t^{(j)})$ . From now on, I denote  $\varsigma^{\star} = \varsigma(t - \Delta t^{(j)})$  for any quantity  $\varsigma$ . The full potential felt by a body of mass  $m_k$  at  $\mathbf{r}_k$  is  $m_k V(\mathbf{r}_k)$ . Either the star or any other planet can play the role of body k. Because the planet's masses are assumed much smaller than the star's mass, I disregard cases where body k is a planet and I only consider tides interacted with by the star, leading to  $\mathbf{r}_k = \mathbf{r}_j$ . Calling  $S = w_j - w_j^{\star}$  the angle between  $\mathbf{r}_j$  and  $\mathbf{r}_j^{\star}$ , with  $w_j$  the true longitude of planet j, the perturbed potential is rewritten

$$V(\mathbf{r}_j) = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa_2^{(j)} \frac{\mathcal{G} m_0 R_j^5}{r_j^3 r_j^{*3}} \left( 3\cos^2 S - 1 \right).$$
 (6)

The perturbed potential is currently written in the frame attached to the planet's rotation. I switch to the inertial reference frame  $(\mathcal{O}, i, j, k)$  by writing

$$S = w_j - w_j^* - \left(\theta_j - \theta_j^*\right),\tag{7}$$

where  $\theta_i$  is the sideral rotation angle of planet j.

### 2 Pseudo-Hamiltonian formalism

Tides can be included in a planetary system by adding the potential  $H_t^{(j)} = m_0 V(\mathbf{r}_j)$  to the Hamiltonian of the problem. The resulting scalar field is *pseudo*-Hamiltonian because it depends on past times (in that case on time  $t - \Delta t^{(j)}$ ). However, the equations of motions with tidal dissipation can still be obtained from the Hamilton equations if the starred variables are kept constant while differentiating (because  $\mathbf{r}_j^*$  is not a variable in Eq. (5), it is a time-dependent forcing).

However, unlike a regular Hamiltonian, this pseudo-Hamiltonian is merely a tool used to obtain the contributions due to tides in the equations of motion. Because problems of celestial mechanics are often dealt with efficiently using Poincaré's canonical rectangular coordinates  $(\Lambda_j, x_j; \lambda_j, -\iota \bar{x}_j)$ , I use these coordinates from now on, and more specifically their non-canonical version  $X_j = \sqrt{2/\Lambda_j} \, x_j$ . A definition of these variables can be found in Sect. 1.1. of this work<sup>1</sup>. By conservation of the total angular momentum, the sideral rotation  $\theta_j$  of planet j is also a variable of the problem. Its conjugated action is  $\Theta_j = \alpha_j m_j R_j^2 \dot{\theta}_j$ , where  $\alpha_j m_j R_j^2$  is the principal moment of inertia of planet j and  $\alpha_j$  is a dimensionless structure constant equal to 2/5 for an homogeneous planet. The kinetic energy  $T_j$  of rotation of the planet is

$$T_j = \frac{\Theta_j^2}{2\alpha_j m_j R_j^2},\tag{8}$$

and I add it to the Hamiltonian. In order to work with dimensionless variables, I define

$$\mathcal{L}_j = \frac{\Lambda_j}{\Lambda_{j,0}} \approx 1, \quad \tilde{\Theta}_j = \frac{\Theta_j}{\Lambda_{j,0}}, \quad X'_j = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\Lambda_{j,0}}} x_j = \mathcal{L}_j^{1/2} X_j, \tag{9}$$

where  $\Lambda_{j,0}$  is a nominal value for  $\Lambda_j$ , such that  $\mathcal{L}_j \approx 1$ . In order to stay as close as possible from the regular Hamilton equations, I renormalize the Hamiltonian with

$$\mathcal{H}_t^{(j)} = \frac{H_t^{(j)}}{\Lambda_{j,0}}, \quad \mathcal{T}_j = \frac{T_j}{\Lambda_{j,0}}.$$
 (10)

Writing  $\mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{H}_t^{(j)} + \mathcal{T}_j$ , the tidal equations of motion are

$$\dot{\mathcal{L}}_{j} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{j}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}, \quad \dot{\lambda}_{j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{j}}{\partial \mathcal{L}_{j}}, \quad \dot{X}'_{j} = -2\iota \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{j}}{\partial \bar{X}'_{j}}, \quad \dot{\tilde{\Theta}}_{j} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{j}}{\partial \theta_{j}}, \quad \dot{\theta}_{j} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_{j}}{\partial \tilde{\Theta}_{j}}, \quad (11)$$

all these partial derivatives being computed while keeping the starred quantities constant. The expression of  $\mathcal{H}_t^{(j)}$  averaged over the mean motion of planet j is

$$\mathcal{H}_{t}^{(j)} = -n_{j,0}q_{j}\frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}}\mathcal{L}_{j}^{-6}\mathcal{L}_{j}^{\star-6}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\cos 2(\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{j}^{\star} - \theta_{j} + \theta_{j}^{\star}) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \Xi_{2k}^{(j)}\right),\tag{12}$$

where  $q_j = \kappa_2^{(j)} R_j^5 / a_{j,0}^5$ . The coefficients  $\Xi_{2k}^{(j)}$  of the expansion in eccentricity are given by (Couturier *et al.*, 2021, Eq. (42) and Appendix B) up to k = 2 (beware that  $\mathcal{R}_j$  and  $X_j$  are written in this work instead of  $\mathcal{L}_j$  and  $X_j'$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://jeremycouturier.com/3pla/SecondOrderMass.pdf

## 3 Contribution of tides to the equations of motions

Once the equations of motions are obtained from the Hamilton equations, the starred variables can be given as a function of the non-starred variables. Because  $\Delta_t^{(j)}$  is much smaller than the secular timescales, I simply make the substitution  $\mathcal{L}_j^{\star} = \mathcal{L}_j$  and  $X_j^{\prime \star} = X_j^{\prime}$ . For  $\lambda_j$  and  $\theta_j$ , I define  $Q_j^{-1} = n_{j,0} \Delta_t^{(j)}$  and  $\omega_j = \dot{\theta}_j/n_{j,0}$  and a first-order expansion in  $\Delta_t^{(j)}$  yields

$$\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{j}^{\star} = n_{j} \Delta_{t}^{(j)} = \frac{n_{j}}{n_{j,0}} n_{j,0} \Delta_{t}^{(j)} = \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-3} Q_{j}^{-1},$$

$$\theta_{j} - \theta_{j}^{\star} = \dot{\theta}_{j} \Delta_{t}^{(j)} = \omega_{j} Q_{j}^{-1}.$$
(13)

I compute the equations of motion and obtain, for the regular Poincaré coordinates

$$\dot{X}_{j} = -3n_{j,0} \frac{q_{j}}{Q_{j}} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-13} X_{j} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( p_{2n}^{(j)} - p_{2n} \iota Q_{j} \right) X_{j}^{n-1} \bar{X}_{j}^{n-1} \right), 
\dot{\lambda}_{j} = 6n_{j,0} q_{j} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-13} \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q_{2n} X_{j}^{n} \bar{X}_{j}^{n} \right), 
\dot{\Lambda}_{j} = -3n_{j,0} \Lambda_{j,0} \frac{q_{j}}{Q_{j}} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-12} \left( \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-3} - \omega_{j} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} k_{2n}^{(j)} X_{j}^{n} \bar{X}_{j}^{n} \right), 
\ddot{\theta}_{j} = 3n_{j,0}^{2} \alpha_{j}^{-1} \frac{q_{j}}{Q_{j}} \frac{a_{j,0}^{2}}{m_{j}} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-12} \left( \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-3} - \omega_{j} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} h_{2n}^{(j)} X_{j}^{n} \bar{X}_{j}^{n} \right),$$
(14)

where I recall that  $q_j = \kappa_2^{(j)} R_j^5 / a_{j,0}^5$  and

$$p_{2n}^{(j)} = p'_{2n} \mathcal{L}_j^{-3} - p''_{2n} \omega_j,$$

$$k_{2n}^{(j)} = k'_{2n} \mathcal{L}_j^{-3} - k''_{2n} \omega_j,$$

$$h_{2n}^{(j)} = h'_{2n} \mathcal{L}_j^{-3} - h''_{2n} \omega_j,$$
(15)

Using the algebraic manipulator *celeries*, I computed the equations of motions up to order 9 in eccentricity and found the coefficients

$$(q_{0}, q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{6}, q_{8}) = \left(1, \frac{65}{8}, \frac{455}{16}, \frac{525}{8}, \frac{5750747}{49152}\right),$$

$$(p_{0}, p_{2}, p_{4}, p_{6}, p_{8}, p_{10}) = \left(0, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{65}{4}, \frac{105}{2}, \frac{3745}{32}, \frac{52605}{256}\right),$$

$$(p'_{0}, p'_{2}, p'_{4}, p'_{6}, p'_{8}, p'_{10}) = \left(0, \frac{19}{2}, 106, \frac{4351}{8}, \frac{58395}{32}, \frac{1185825}{256}\right),$$

$$(p''_{0}, p''_{2}, p''_{4}, p''_{6}, p''_{8}, p''_{10}) = \left(0, 6, \frac{351}{8}, \frac{637}{4}, \frac{12705}{32}, \frac{12411}{16}\right),$$

$$(k'_{0}, k'_{2}, k'_{4}, k'_{6}, k''_{8}) = \left(1, 23, \frac{697}{4}, \frac{6045}{8}, \frac{170284187}{73728}\right),$$

$$(k''_{0}, k''_{2}, k''_{4}, k''_{6}, k''_{8}) = \left(1, \frac{27}{2}, \frac{273}{4}, \frac{847}{4}, \frac{35742107}{73728}\right),$$

$$(h''_{0}, h''_{2}, h''_{4}, h''_{6}, h''_{8}) = \left(1, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{195}{8}, \frac{105}{2}, \frac{6469787}{73728}\right).$$

References 5

The conservation of the total angular momentum of the system reads (Couturier *et al.*, 2021, Appendix D)

$$h_{2n}^{(j)} - k_{2n}^{(j)} + p_{2n}^{(j)} = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$
 (17)

and the differential system of equations (14) does indeed preserve the total angular momentum at all orders in eccentricity.

The coefficients  $p_{2n}$  and  $q_{2n}$  do not intervene in the equation of conservation of the total angular momentum because they correspond to elastic tides<sup>2</sup> and they do not affect the angular momentum of the system. These coefficients can safely be evaluated to zero in Eqs. (14) when only the dissipative contributions of tides matter (e.g. when computing the real parts of the eigenvalues around the equilibria). When studying a mean-motion resonance, the differential system (14) can be evaluated at the keplerian resonance  $\Lambda_j = \Lambda_{j,0}$  by substituting  $\mathcal{L}_j = 1$ , but this kills all the dissipation in the libration amplitude of the resonance angle and is generally not a viable approximation.

Sometimes, it is more useful to know the quantities  $\dot{D}_j$  and  $\dot{\varpi}_j$  instead of  $\dot{X}_j$ , where  $X_j = \sqrt{2D_j/\Lambda_j}e^{i\varpi_j}$  and I recall that  $X_j' = \sqrt{2D_j/\Lambda_{j,0}}e^{i\varpi_j} = \mathcal{L}_j^{1/2}X_j$ . Writing

$$\dot{X}'_{j} = X'_{j} \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{D}_{j}}{D_{j}} + \iota \dot{\varpi}_{j} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{j} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-1/2} X_{j} + \mathcal{L}_{j}^{1/2} \dot{X}_{j}, \tag{18}$$

I get

$$\dot{D}_{j} = -3n_{j,0} \frac{q_{j}}{Q_{j}} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-13} D_{j} \left( 20 \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-3} - 13 \omega_{j} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left( k_{2n}^{(j)} + 2p_{2n+2}^{(j)} \right) D_{j}^{n} \right),$$

$$\dot{\varpi}_{j} = 3n_{j,0} q_{j} \frac{m_{0}}{m_{j}} \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-13} \left( \frac{5}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} p_{2n+2} D_{j}^{n} \right).$$
(19)

#### References

Boué, G., Correia, A. C. M. and Laskar, J. (2019). On Tidal Theories and the Rotation of Viscous Bodies. 82, pp. 91–98.

Couturier, J. (2022). Dynamics of Co-Orbital Planets. Tides and Resonance Chains. PhD thesis. Observatoire de Paris, https://theses.hal.science/tel-04197740.

Couturier, J., Robutel, P. and Correia, A. C. M. (2021). An Analytical Model for Tidal Evolution in Co-Orbital Systems. I. Application to Exoplanets. *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, 133.8, p. 37.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>They are the terms of Eqs. (14) independent on  $Q_j$ , for which  $\ddot{r}_j \propto r_j$